Issue 01

January 27th 2015

Vive Charlie!

Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to

Charlie Hebdo



REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Vive Charlie!

Welcome to Vive Charlie the new online satire magazine created in the wake of the horrific terrorist attack at the offices of Charlie Hebdo in Paris.

If you are reading this, then you are one of the many that has supported this project by making pledges through our Patreon page and for that we thank you. Without your support this project would not be possible; as the time, resources, commitment and costs required to deliver Vive Charlie would have been out of reach.

In a very short space of time we have assembled a professional, creative and dedicated team. We already have artists, satirists, administrators, columnists, comedians authors, publishers, lawyers, translators and web development specialists that have agreed to give their time and expertise freely to get Vive Charlie up and running.

The money you have pledged will allow us to purchase a web domain, email servers, equipment, software and materials. Until such time that the monthly pledges via Patreon reach $4,000 we anticipate that, for the first few months, we will be running at a financial loss. We would therefore encourage you to share our work on social media, with friends, and family. Please be assured that Vive Charlie has not been set up as a profit making project. 20% of all profits will be donated each month to a charitable cause, selected by you, our Patrons. The remainder of the profits will be invested in Vive Charlie, to ensure it remains advertising free, to expand our reach by promoting it online and to increase the content.

This, the first issue, is around 20 pages and includes a number of characters and features that will appear each week. Hopefully you will become very fond of the features and characters we have created and we can assure you that new characters and features will be introduced as we go along.

As already stated Vive Charlie will focus on promoting freedom of speech and freedom of expression through satire. Rest assured we are not left or right leaning, nor are we West or East leaning. Anyone and anything that is an affront to normal sensibilities is a target, whether it be overly politically correct media outlets, politicians, world leaders, religion, celebrities, sports stars or current events.

We would also like to extend on open invitation to you, our Patrons, to submit your ideas, focus areas, subjects and people that you feel deserve to be mocked, ridiculed, offended or shamed into action.

Once again, thank you for your generous support and enjoy issue 1 of Vive Charlie.

THE KING IS DEAD. LONG LIVE THE KING

Barely three weeks after the brutal and murderous assault on harmless satirists by Islamentalists, our glorious leaders queue to kiss the arse of one of the most despotic leaders we have ever had the honour to do our filthy corporate business with. With the blessing of the House of Windsor and flags flying shamefully at half mast, Cameron and Jug Ears scuttled their way past the VIP duty free to join a raft of world leaders who had earlier braved the streets of Paris to declare freedom of expression as a fundamental human right for all, all desperate to be seen to pay homage to a man who willingly executes those who dare to differ in opinion from his own.

The only true achievement of King Abdullah of the Royal House of Saudi, apart from filling the coffers of Bae Weapons shareholders was to demonstrate the obscene hypocrisy of those we elect to represent us or tolerate ruling over us.

As the jailed and subsequently publicly flogged freedom of expression blogger Raif Badawi might say:

"Abdullah certainly left his mark on me - all over my flayed back.Still, at least he dragged this country kicking and screaming into the 8th Century"

I've little time for introductions, other than to welcome you to my new column in a new publication that sits close to my heart. Some of you will already know my mischievous nature from my blog or from Twitter (@holbornlolz), others have yet to be offended, shocked and outraged by me (or as I prefer to call it – entertained).

I'll be covering current affairs, our ridiculous politicians, our addiction to celebrity morons, our grand hypocrisy and our ability to poke wasps nests and laugh or cry at the ensuing chaos. If I'm not offending someone, I'm not doing my job properly – and as paying subscribers, you're willing participants in the beauty of free speech and free expression. So simply sit back and enjoy the ride and spare a thought for those who sadly cannot, either through fear, oppression or the very darkest of sins, self imposed censorship.

The last few weeks have shown the very real dangers of having an opinion and refusing to keep it to yourself - once again, sadly we live in dangerous times. The act of reading this magazine is the first step in fighting back against the ever creeping silent society of quiet, compliant obedience, eagerly demanded by well, pretty much anyone who with a vested interest in ensuring their voice is louder than yours. From Politicians to religious fundamentalists, you'll find them screeching their indignation that you dare to tread a different path in life from them. You'll find their ever creeping tentacles everywhere, from demanding escalators to carry the morbidly obese to the summit of Everest or realigning your mother tongue to exclude terms and phrases they deem no longer suitable in their brave new world.

The rush to this new "Puritanism" is not new. Throughout the ages we have burned books and those denounced as witches because we were assured by our betters that our lives (and more importantly) our afterlives would be so much richer if we could just deny ourselves the pleasure of laughter. Or sex. Or thinking. Or talking. And just blindly obeying.

I do implore you however to remain non partisan. for our self imposed silence on those who simply have no say in the matter and others ready to grasp the limelight as "victims, forever oppressed". I know who the instigators of the current purge of free speech are, I know who their enablers are and I know their desired outcome – a quick history lesson of mankind's inhumanity to mankind will show you where the real culprits lie – refrain from blaming the sheep for the actions of the shepherd. Let's concentrate instead on closing down the abattoirs and encouraging our fellow citizens to become a little more free range. I don't want free speech to be the dirty little secret of a few, I want us all to graze on the lush pastures of genuine Liberty, grow fat on the banquets of bad taste and wallow in the outrageously offensive, safe in the knowledge that we chose that path willingly. We mock, deride and ridicule for a noble purpose – to remain free to live our lives as we see fit. We owe that much to our grandchildren when they demand to know from us what we did in the Free Speech wars. We fought back and guffawed in the faces of those who inch by inch, tried to take a mile of our hard won freedoms away.

Be warned. They will come for us, for you and for me. They will demand their outrage carries more weight than your individual freedom. They will insist that their freedom to live unoffended trumps your human right to be offensive – it doesn't and never should. That way lies book burning and the horrors of Totalitarianism; they'll always come for the comedians first as laughter is more powerful and dangerous to their warped ideals than any gun could ever be. Ask the cabaret artists who fled the Third Reich or the Soviet comedians who dodged Stalin's purges.

Ignore them all, fellow humourists – we were here first and we'll be laughing at their idiocies long after they are lowered into their dark lime filled pits to moulder in cold silent misery. We're the ones who will grow old disgracefully, our bellies aching from mirth and our faces wrinkled with well earned laughter lines.

Now, about those Maddie McCann jokes.....

Death can be full of nasty surprises

Sharia to get Royal seal of approval?



The Queen is set to shock the civilised world by giving Sharia Law her royal seal of approval

Rumours are circulating that the Queen is set to give her offical approval of Sharia Law so that all women have to wear a Burka. This way she can prevent Charles from ever taking the throne by having a body double in a burka rule the nation for another 100 years, thus preserving the Monarchy.

The NEW Heavyweight Champion of the Church

My son is holding my balloon whilst I take a photo of the Pope

Asked for his response to the Paris terrorist attacks, Pope Francis said -

"If my good friend Dr Gasparri says a curse word against my mother, then a punch awaits him. It's normal. One cannot provoke. One cannot insult the faith of others. One cannot make fun of faith."

FATWA TV with Imam Tomeh

Each week Imam Tomeh will be announcing Fatwas from around the Muslamic world. This week it's a Fatwa from the Cleric Sheikh Muhammad Munajid

"The mouse is one of Satan's soldiers and is steered by him. According to Islamic law, the mouse is a repulsive, corrupting creature. How do you think children view mice today – after Tom and Jerry? Even creatures that are repulsive by nature, by logic and according to Islamic law, have become wonderful and are loved by children. Even mice. Mickey Mouse has become an awesome character, even though according to Islamic law, Mickey Mouse should be killed in all cases."

In a bid to increase its appeal to a wider audience and be included in the live election TV debates, the Green Party go for a niche vote.

Ray Comfort presents "The Atheists Nightmare"

ehold, the atheists' nightmare. Now if you study a made banana, you'll find, on the far side, there re 3 ridges. On the close side, two ridges. If you get your hand ready to grip a banana, you'll find on the far side there are three grooves, on the close side, two grooves. The banana and the hand are perfectly made, one for the other. You'll find the maker of the banana, Almighty God, has made it with a non-slip surface. It has outward indicators of inward contents - green, too early - yellow, just right - black, too late.

Now if you go to the top of the banana, you'll find, as with the soda can makers have placed a tab at the top, so God has placed a tab at the top. When you pull the tab, the contents don't squirt in your face. You'll find a wrapper which is biodegradable, has perforations. Notice how gracefully it sits over the human hand. Notice it has a point at the top for ease of entry. It's just the right shape for the human mouth. It's chewy, easy to digest and its even curved toward the face to make the whole process so much easier. Seriously, Kirk, the whole of creation testifies to the genius of God's creation."

Proving Atheists Wrong...



With logic and wisdom of this magnitude, we invited Ray Comfort to be a team captain on our weekly quiz show "Universally Challenged". Let's see how he got on by turning the page.

Hitch: Hello and welcome to Universally Challenged. This week's teams are ONE TRUE GOD and THE GOD SQUAD, with team captains Prophet Moe and Ray Comfort.

OK, first question and a starter for 10...

BUZZZ God Squad Cameron: Can I sit next to Ray? I always sit next to Ray.

Hitch: OK, I'll try again. Starter for 10, how do...

BUZZZ One True God Aisha: Can I have a booster seat please? I can't see anything from down here.

Hitch: OK, question 1, how do ants communicate?

BUZZZ One True God Moe: They speak Arabic.

Hitch: OK, question 2, what is the single most compelling piece of evidence that God exists?

BUZZZ God Squad Comfort: The banana?

Hitch: Next question, given science has proven the earth is around 4 billion years old, is round and orbits our star, how do you explain the errors in religious scripture?



BUZZZ One True God Tom: As the Qur'an is perfect, it must be down to mistranslation or misinterpretation.

Hitch: President Obama, I notice you've changed teams. Why?

Obama: The Pope keeps rubbing my leg and Aisha had to go for a nap, so I thought I'd switch sides to even it up.

Hitch: As an Atheist, I think the beliefs of both teams are bullshit. By what means could you convince me I'm wrong?

BUZZZ: One True God Obama: A drone strike?

Hitch: OK last question, if you had 10 billion dollars would you spend it on world hunger? or world peace?

BUZZZ God Squad Pope: Gold crosses and statues for the Church.

Muslamic Whispers

Everybody has heard the story of an illiterate camel train raider that had regular visits in his cave from an Angel who was passing on messages from the one true God. We are here to fill in the gaps, so the resulting book starts to make a little bit more sense.

Allan is the one true God and Moe his motorcycle messenger. Allan texts messages to Lucifer who then dresses up as Gabriel and delivers them to Moe. The real twist in our tale is that Allan's pet terrapin Bernard is the mastermind behind it all.



Religion of Peace? Nobel Peace Prize?
The new Peace?



A day in the life of Jihadi John

Originally from London, Jihadi John decided he'd like to become one of Allan's little soldiers and behead infidels on his own You Tube channel



Vote now, 1 Star = spare his life. 3 Stars = hack his head off

BURKAS
for goalposts

So British faux feminists celebrated a short lived victory with the apparent end of page 3 in The Sun last week, only to have their celebrations quashed when The Sun revealed it wasn't actually getting rid of page 3 and it was all an elaborate hoax! Hurrah!

I hope this bunch didn't burn too many bras or buy too much tofu and earl grey tea bags for their celebratory dinner!

It often puzzles me as to how supposed feminists are so offended and appalled by the female form that they would need to campaign against it being published daily.

Page 3 models are paid well and chose that career path, they are not forced to pose semi naked they do it willingly and trying to stop page 3 is akin to taking away their livelihood and taking food from their mouths.

Meanwhile in the UK the number of female genital mutilation cases treated in our hospitals continues to rise whilst the faux feminists continue trying to take down the real misogynistic threat and take away jobs from independent women who chose to show off their nice boobies! Bravo faux feminists, bravo.

Eliza Lott @ElectionSpecial

Katie's World

If shooting fat people was made into a sport, I'd be the reigning European, World & Olympic Champion

Videos of the week

Pat Condell -Choosing to be offended

People often say to me: "Free speech is all very well, "but what you need to understand is that people's religious beliefs "are very important to them, and it's deeply offensive "to hear them insulted and ridiculed." Actually, no, I don't need to understand that. I already understand it very well, and I don't care. Nor should I be expected to care.

Allah will drive a nail into your head if you give flowers to your girlfriend - Abu Mounisa

Oh my sweet Valentine, how I'd like Allah to drive a nail repeatedly into your head. This charmer explains why giving flowers to your girlfriend will incur the wrath of the merciful Allah.

Allan Ackbar Failures - Compilation

What in wonder as warriors of Allah display the Islamic interpretation of Military Precision. It should be noted that a number of brainwashed idiots thankfully died in the making of this video. Allan Ackbar!!!

Following accusations of Islamophobia, the Ebola virus will now be treating everyone equally

Was Jesus the Bastard-Son of a Lying Adulteress? by Michael Sherlock

Which is more likely: That the whole natural order is suspended or that a Jewish minx should tell a lie? ~David Hume

DISCLAIMER: As this is my first piece for Charlie, I thought I'd better not give people the impression that I am some undercover Anglican priest, out to throw stones at children born out of wedlock, for if I did cast such stones, they would invariably find their way back to me.

Now, feel free to be offended by my rather forward question, but don't pretend as if you've never wondered whether or not Jesus was the bastard-son of a lying adulteress. So, given your internal admission, how do we attempt to answer this seemingly salacious yet sensible question? The short answer is, we can't. Aside from the irrational and unhistorical religious tales contained in both the official and unofficial corpus of early Christian texts, we have virtually nothing to help us answer any question about the alleged historicity of Jesus.

In the words of the atheist bible scholar who believes in a historical human Jesus, Bart Ehrman:

What do Greek and Roman sources have to say about Jesus? Or to make the question more pointed: if Jesus lived and died in the first century (death around 30 CE), what do the Greek and Roman sources from his own day through the end of the century (say, the year 100) have to say about him? The answer is breathtaking. They have absolutely nothing to say about him. He is never discussed, challenged, attacked, maligned, or talked about in any way in any surviving pagan source of the period. There are no birth records, accounts of his trial and death, reflections on his significance, or disputes about his teachings. In fact, his name is never mentioned once in any pagan source. And we have a lot of Greek and Roman sources from the period: religious scholars, historians, philosophers, poets, natural scientists; we have thousands of private letters; we have inscriptions placed on buildings in public places. In no first-century Greek or Roman (pagan) source is Jesus mentioned. [1]

So then, if such is the case, let us give both the rational historicists (people who believe Jesus was a legendary mortal) and Christian apologists (people who believe that phantoms fornicate with Jewish virgins) the benefit of the doubt, but not so much benefit that we wander down the yellow brick road of faith-induced insanity. Let us, as rationally minded investigators, retain a modicum of common sense and concede from the outset that tribal deities don't impregnate virgins, as was believed to be the case with Zeus and Alcmene, as well as many others from our dark and superstitious past. But in the spirit of fairness, let us employ a more tempered yet similar style of circumstantial speculation to attempt an answer to this ultimately vacuous question. For if such speculation can form the sandy foundations of a worldwide faith, it should certainly be capable of accommodating our somewhat facetious investigation.

When Monty Python's 'Life of Brian' first hit cinemas in 1979, and in the years to follow, it caused an almost unprecedented uproar amongst Christians of all stripes. The movie was banned, picketed, derided, death threats were made, and by crowds of credulous cretins who believed that the Pythons had simply plucked these brilliant blasphemies out of the blue; but if one is to watch this film with an ounce of knowledge about the controversial issues it parodied, one will see that painstaking historical research went into the making of this masterpiece. In the film, the Pythons cast the "virgin" Mary in a somewhat humiliating light – as a Jewish woman who had casually conceived Brian (Jesus, but not Jesus) out of wedlock with a Roman soldier, thus explaining Brian's Roman-looking nose. Absent any knowledge of the issue being parodied, this is still a very clever and funny concept, but with a little historical knowledge, this scene is transformed into an enlightening parody on a controversy that spans nearly the entire breadth of Christian history.

According to Jewish traditions contained within numerous rabbinical works, namely, the 'Tosefta,' (third - fourth centuries CE) [2] the 'Jerusalem/Palestinian Talmud,' (fourth - fifth centuries CE) [3] the 'Babylonian Talmud,' (third – seventh centuries CE) [4] and later reiterated in the Jewish polemic, the 'Toledoth Yeshu' (Biography of Jesus) (tenth century), [5] Jesus (Sometimes, 'son of Pandera'), was a shameful character, and in numerous traditions his mother was said to have conceived him in adultery, and in some versions of

the tale, with a Roman soldier. [6] But the earliest of these sources dates to the third century and the earliest of these sources that mention Jesus' "illegitimate" birth, dates to between the third and seventh centuries CE. [7] Also, as many scholars have noted, these unflattering descriptions of Jesus and his mother appear to be polemical in nature, drawing their adulterated narratives from pre-existing Christian sources. [8]

If we factor history into the equation and take into account the horrendous anti-Semitic atrocities committed by Christians, it makes sense that the rabbis sitting safely in Babylonia, might wish to sully the name of Christianity's most adored character, but the truth is, these stories go back well before the composition of the Babylonian Talmud. In fact, some of the Talmudic and rabbinic traditions about Jesus can be found in the gospels themselves. [9]
One of the earliest sources we have for the claim that Mary conceived Jesus out of wedlock, comes to us from the second century philosopher Celsus, whose works have all been destroyed, but for the fragments which remain in the third century Church father Origen's 'Contra Celsum' (Against Celsus).

The relevant excerpt reads as follows:

...[Celsus] accuses [Jesus] of having "invented his birth from a virgin," and upbraids Him with being "born in a certain Jewish village, of a poor woman of the country, who gained her subsistence by spinning, and who was turned out of doors by her husband, a carpenter by trade, because she was convicted of adultery; that after being driven away by her husband, and wandering about for a time, she disgracefully gave birth to Jesus, an illegitimate child...[10]

According to the Jewish tradition relayed by Celsus, Jesus wasn't the bastard-son of a lying adulteress, but the lying bastard-son of an otherwise honest adulteress. Further, Celsus likely received this information about Jesus from his Jewish associates, which demonstrates that this Jewish tradition existed in the second century, if not earlier.
This still places the 'Bastard Hypothesis' after the composition of the original birth narratives contained within the falsely named gospels (Matthew and Luke), and is still suspect, well, only slightly less suspect than the original birth narratives, which seek to assert that the tribal deity of the Jews impregnated a Jewish virgin with a ghost version of himself. And here we arrive at the central point of this little piece. If any of the aspects of the gospel narratives are to be believed, and I would certainly stress caution in this regard, then we have to weigh the competing stories of Jesus' conception and birth upon the basis of reasonableness and likelihood. To help us do this, I'll call upon an abridged version of the brilliant logical formula created by William Ockham, popularly known as 'Occam's Razor.'

Occam's Razor:
If you have two competing explanations for something, the simplest explanation is likely to be the correct one.

So, if we are to pit these two unhistorical claims against one another, which is more likely? Was Jesus the child of a virgin and a virgin-raping ghost-daddy, or did he or his mother lie about his Egypto-Grecian-styled incarnation?

If you accept the most reasonable of the two claims to be true, then you may be justified in asserting that Christianity, with its suicidal martyrs, its Dark Ages, its Inquisitions, Crusades, murderous missionaries and its 40,000 confused denominations, is but the lie of either an adulteress or her bastard-son that got way out of hand.

Sources: 1.Bart Ehrman. Jesus Interrupted. Harper-Collins. (2009). p. 149.
2.Steven T. Katz. The Cambridge History of Judaism, Vol. 4. Cambridge University Press. (2008). p. 321.
3.Ibid. p. 667.
4.Ibid. p. 840.
5.Fred Skolnik & Michael Berenbaum. Encyclopaedia Judaica. 2nd Ed. Vol. 20. Keter Publishing House. (2007). p. 29.
6.Catherine M. Murphy, PhD. The Historical Jesus For Dummies. Wiley Publishing Inc. (2008). p. 270.
7.Steven T. Katz. The Cambridge History of Judaism, Vol. 4. Cambridge University Press. (2008). p. 840.
8.Maurice Goguel. Jesus the Nazarene: Myth or History? D Appleton and Company. (1926). p. 21; Robert Price. The Incredible Shrinking Son of Man. Prometheus Books. (2003). p. 40; G.R.S. Mead. Did Jesus Live 100 BC.? University Books (1968). Pp 135-51, 302-23, 388-413; Hugh J. Schonfield. According to the Hebrews. Duckworth. (1937). pp. 101, 122, 146-47.
9.Jewish tradition of the faked resurrection (Stolen Body Hypothesis) found in rabbinical traditions also appears in the Gospel of "Matthew" (28:11-15).
10.Philip Schaff. Ante-Nicene Fathers Vol. 4: Fathers of the Third Century: Tertullian, Part Fourth; Minucius Felix; Commodian; Origen, Parts First and Second. Grand Rapids, MI: Christian Classics Ethereal Library. p. 699.

Rod is God? Or maybe he's just a Useful Idiot

Until 12 months ago, Father Rod Bower was a "little known" Archdeacon preaching from a tiny Gosford parish 70km north of Sydney with a congregation of about 20. Thanks to social media and an 'anything goes' attitude to religion, he has made quite a splash of late, "posting" messages that are unrelated to Christianity and are enough to make the Archbishop of Canterbury choke on his marmalade toast. The billboard posts are supposed to be thought-provoking but are often decidedly cryptic and only Bower himself understands the logic behind them. One such example is a recent message saying 'bullets are made from words'.

Bower's billboard postings are also strikingly pro-Muslim so you'd be forgiven for thinking he was one. I wouldn't be surprised to see him sporting the hijab on world hijab day in February. He is one of many who have climbed onto the 'ISIS isn't Islamic' bandwagon recently and refers to the Kouachi brothers as criminally insane. Anything but Islam, right? Well that's just great Rod, let's pick on the mentally ill. They're a nice soft target aren't they? As if the mentally ill have not already been stigmatized enough since the Sydney Siege.

There was no evidence that Monis was mentally ill and nor is there any that the Paris shooters were either. As Sam Harris says, exactly what is it that these zealots have to do to prove to the world that they are motivated by religion? And if we place the various groups on a continuum with non-violent groups at one end and radical groups at the other, where do we draw the line? Hizb ut-Tahrir? Hamas? The Taliban? Al-Qaeda? The concept is ridiculous at best.

Bower has made a meme of himself with some convoluted argument that anyone who questions Islam is an extremist themselves and places yet another sign outside the church stating 'buy halal' and 'bless the burqa'. Bless the burqa! Really? Bless a symbol of women's oppression? Bless a tribal sack which, not only pre-dates Islam but has a history that is about as far removed from the divine as you can get?

The history of the veil was more along the lines of peer pressure than divine revelation. Mohammad's friend Umar Al-Habab continually pressured Mohammad to reveal veils for women. When Mohammad was not forthcoming he followed Mohammad's wives to the toilet making his presence obvious until Mohammad miraculously announced the required revelation. So if Rod wants to bless a garment whose history comes from dirty old men hanging around the ladies' loo, then good luck to him. I, for one, will not be turning 'my' back on women.

@StaunchA - Australia

JIHAD-E-BAY

SEX SLAVE $50 SECTION

As we all know, in Islam, women are just property to be beaten, raped, sold, stoned, hanged or acid burned. We are very fortunate that we have been able to find some Muslamic 'men' willing to sell their unwanted sex slaves. All slaves are $50 each, sorry, but there is no returns policy.

Fatima is a real gem that underwent FGM at the age of 5 so no need or possibility of giving her an orgasm. Takes a great punch, cooks and cleans very well. Works well as team player in your slave cave

Aisha is a real handful and has a passion for biting and spitting at passers by. She is very fertile, having already had 18 kids. Prefers to be whipped than punched, so she's a bit kinky too.

Zaynab is very shy and always wears her burka after she had acid thrown in her face at the age of 10 for not wearing one. She has one of the finest lady beards in the islamic world.

Raif Badawi, the Saudi Arabian blogger whose punishment of 1,000 lashes has led to an international outcry, is mentally "very strong" and taking great heart from the campaign to free him, according to his his wife. Ensaf Haidar is hopeful her husband will be released soon, despite being sentenced to 10 years in prison and 50 lashes a week for 20 weeks for criticising the country's clerics through his liberal blog.

Aseem Trivedi is an independent cartoonist and free speech activist from New Delhi, India. He has announced that he will be creating a cartoon for each of the 50 lashes Raif Badawi recently received. Below are his first two cartoons in his series of 50. Vive Charlie will be featuring his cartoons each week so please follow him on twitter. **@aseem_trivedi**

A CARTOON AGAINST EVERY LASH (1) #FreeRaif

A CARTOON AGAINST EVERY LASH (2) #FreeRaif

Islamic sculptures confirm its proud history of having sex with goats. Recent fatwas confirm that Jihadis are permitted to screw goats if there's no women available.

Half Goat - Half Jihadi

Abdul is overjoyed at becoming a father for the 18th time

@EppersonDon

BURKAPAPA

by Noisykafir



UNTIL A VILLAGE IN KENYA GETS ITS IDIOT BACK

ASSHOLE OF THE WEEK





WANTED
DEAD OR ALIVE

@JihadistJoe

@noisykafir

FOR BLASPHEMY
AND CO-FOUNDING VIVE CHARLIE

REWARD 72 VIRGINS*

*YOU HAVE TO SHOUT ALLAN ACKBAR AND BLOW YOURSELF UP IN A POPULATED CIVILIAN AREA

TO SUBSCRIBE TO VIVE CHARLIE

Visit - https://www.patreon.com/ViveCharlie